



Frontier District

Advancement Committee

EAGLE SCOUT CANDIDATE PROCEDURES SUPPLEMENT

Procedures for the Eagle Scout candidate, his unit, his district and his council are well documented in the Advancement Policies and Procedures Committee Guide, the Frontier District Advancement Guidelines and the Frontier District Eagle Candidate packet.

The following points supplement the above documents and have proven over the years to provide the best atmosphere for a constructive review:

- The candidate should always do his very best to follow the Eagle Award application and project guidelines complete. He should not expect or wait for others to tell him what to do. He should be prepared.
- The Unit Leader and Unit Committee should ensure that the candidate's project proposal and, later, his application package are as complete as possible and truly represent the candidate's best effort. To allow a lesser product to go forward is to invite more rigorous review at the District level and is unfair to the candidate.
- The candidate should readily accept additional suggestions made by the District, even though his Unit has approved his work thus far. He should treat his District members with respect and should be in uniform when presenting his project and/or application. He should show outstanding Scout Spirit always.
- All contact between the candidate and the reviewing Scouters both at the Unit and at the District levels should be between the candidate and those reviewing Scouters only. Parents regardless of their Scouting affiliation should not be involved. Invariable, this is detrimental to the review process, and is heavily frowned upon by all District and Council advancement personnel. Well-intended parent intervention often ends up creating problems for the candidate, including his having to spend additional time and effort to convince the District of his worthiness for further consideration.
- The District advancement committee is required to hold to their best interpretation of the Eagle Award requirements as they pertain to the candidate. The "no more no less" rule is as important here as anywhere in the advancement process. Because there is a great deal of subjectivity in reviewing an Eagle project, it is important that all parties keep to the facts.
- Should at any time the District Advancement committee feel that their best effort is being impeded by intimidation, non-cooperation or other disruptive behavior on the part of the Unit, the candidate or any other individual, they have a responsibility to stop the review process until the matter is resolved. Failing to resolve the conflict themselves, they must refer the matter at once to their District Advancement chairman.



Frontier District Advancement Committee

- The District Advancement committee is required to make every effort to clear up any major discrepancies in the candidate's application package (including the project write-up) prior to convening a Board of Review.
- It is the responsibility of the Eagle Board of Review chairman to ensure that the Board is properly staffed to his satisfaction. He should not convene a Board which, in his best judgment, may not give the candidate objective consideration.
- If at the conclusion of the Eagle Board of Review there are any remaining issues concerning the candidate's completion of all requirements for the rank of Eagle Scout, the Board chairman may obtain the signatures of the other Board members, but must withhold his own signature and retain the advancement form until those issues have been resolved.
- Once the Eagle board has approved the candidate to be recommended for the Eagle Scout award, that decision is final, subject to any conditions the board may have placed on the approval.
- Any matter not properly resolved at the District level may be referred to the Council Advancement chairperson by any person involved in the process.